

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,723	02/19/2002	Yong-Jun Kwak	678-807	7600
28249	7590 07/31/2006		EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			MILLS, DONALD L	
	OVINGTON BLVD. LE, NY 11553		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sor				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/079,723	KWAK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald L. Mills	2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2002.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	-	(OTO 440)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u> </u>		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,723

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (6.836,469) in view of Fang (US 5,481,561).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Wu discloses a medium access control protocol for multichannel communication, which comprises:

Generating a dummy bit generation request signal in the absence of the transmission data; upon receipt of the dummy bit generation request signal, generating a dummy bit stream by attaching the CRC bit stream to the dummy bit stream CRC (Referring to Figure 10, during the contention phase (generating a request in the absence of data) of the multi-channel MAC protocol of the WCDMA system, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise dummy data (absence of transmission data) which are error-protected by an error detection code (CRC) are transmitted to the base station 75 via the M control channels 71₁-71_m when mobile stations 74₁-74₃ attempt transmission. See column 9, lines 7-8 and 19-27.)

Wu does not disclose transmitting a dedicated physical data channel signal.

Wu teaches sending short packets comprising dummy data via control channels, and transmitting data packets 78₁-78₃ via data channels 70₁-70₃. Fang teaches mitigating "near-far"

Art Unit: 2616

problems inherent in a CDMA system by sending dummy data in the speech pausing period in data channels (See column 4, lines 62-66.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the dummy data stuffing of Fang in the data channels of Wu with error detection.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have motivated to do so in order to maintain clock and code synchronization during idle periods of speech as taught by Fang (See column 4, lines 50-67 and column 5, lines 6-8.)

Regarding claims 2 and 8 as explained in the rejection statements of claims 1 and 7, Wu and Fang teach all of the claim limitations of claims 1 and 7 (parent claims). Wu discloses the dummy bit stream is equal in a number of bits to data bits transmitted over the control channel when the transmission data is present (Referring to Figure 10, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise a dedicated frame size thereby equivalent to data frame transmission.)

Wu does not disclose transmitting the dummy bit stream over the data channel.

Wu teaches sending short packets comprising dummy data via control channels, and transmitting data packets 78₁-78₃ via data channels 70₁-70₃. Fang teaches mitigating "near-far" problems inherent in a CDMA system by sending dummy data in the speech pausing period in data channels (See column 4, lines 62-66.)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the dummy data stuffing of Fang in the data channels of Wu with error detection.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have motivated to do so in order to maintain clock and code synchronization during idle periods of speech as taught by Fang (See column 4, lines 50-67 and column 5, lines 6-8.)

Application/Control Number: 10/079,723

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 3 and 9, Wu discloses the dummy bit stream has a predetermined

number of bits (Referring to Figure 10, short packets 76₁-76₃ comprise a dedicated frame size

therefore the frame comprises a predetermined number of bits.)

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Donald L. Mills whose telephone number is 571-272-3094. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Donald L Mills

()em

July 6, 2006

Seema S. Ras SEEMA S. RAO 7/10

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600